Earth Life Pty. Ltd. RESEARCH REPORT

The Effect of Bud Burst on Plant Cane Sets

Trial Conducted by D.E & J.A. Gleeson, Agricultural Consultant

AIM:	To determine the effect of Bud Burst applied with pineapple disease chemical at planting on the establishment of sugar cane sets, by observing the speed of emergence, root development, shoot numbers and shoot vigour.						
PROCEDURE:	70% of Variety Q124 sugar cane field treated with Bud Burst applied with Aratan at planting, then random samples of billets taken six weeks later.						
TREATMENTS:	 Untreated 1.5 litres Bud Burst per hectare applied with Aratan at planting (Variety Q124) Random cane sets removed at 6 weeks after planting and rated for: a) Root development (A = primary) (B = secondary) b) Shoot numbers c) Shoots per node d) Size of shoot 						
	RAW RESULTS						
	CONTROL						
	Roots Shoots						
	Primary	Secondary	Shoots	Shoots/	Node	Shoot Size	
	3 1	3	2	2	$\frac{2}{3}$	2	
	1	1	1	2	3	1	
	3	3	2	2	2	2	
	1	$\frac{2}{2}$	1	1	$\frac{2}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	
	$\frac{2}{3}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 0 \end{array} $	$\frac{2}{2}$	$\frac{2}{2}$	$\frac{2}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	
	2	1	1	2	3	3	
	1		2	2	3	3	
	$\frac{2}{2}$	$\frac{2}{2}$	1 2	2 1	3	$\frac{1}{2}$	
	2	2	1	2	2	3	
	2	1	1	1	3	3	
	1 2	2	2	3 2	3	3	
	3	3	1	1	3	3	
	1	0	1	3	4	2	
	2	1 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	3	2	

	3	1	2	1	2	2		
	5	1	2	1	2	<u> </u>		
	3	2	2	1	2	1		
	2	4	1	2	2	1		
	5	4	1	2	3	1		
	2	1	1	2	3	2		
	2	2	1	2	2	2		
	3	Z	1	Z	3	3		
	3	1	1	1	1	2		
	1	1	-	-	2	-		
	1	1	1	2	3	3		
	3	1	1	1	3	3		
	5	1	1	1	5	5		
	4	3	2	2	3	2		
	2	1	1	1	3	4		
	2	1	1	1	5	-		
	3	2	2	3	3	3		
	3	3	2	1	2	2		
	5	5	2	1	2	2		
	3	3	1	2	4	3		
	3	1	1	1	3	2		
	5	1	1	1	5	2		
	TREATMENT - BUD BURST							
		Roots			Shoots			
	Primary	Secondary	Shoots	Shoots/	Node	Shoot Size		
	1	2	2	3	3	3		
	2	2	1	2	2	2		
	3	2	1	2	2	3		
	2	2	2	2	2	3		
	2.5	2		2	2	5		
	3.5	3	4	2	2	4		
	2	1	2	3	3	4		
	2	1	2	5	5	T		
	3	2	2	1	2	4		
ASSESSMENT	1	1	2	3	3	2		
	1	1	2	5	5	2		
	4	4	2	2	2	2		
	4	2	2	2	2	2		
	+	2	2	2	2	2		
	3	4	2	1	2	3		
	4	Δ	Δ	2	2	5		
	+	+	+	2	2	5		
	3	3	3	2	2	3		
	2	2	1	2	3	4		
	2		1	2	5	1		
	2	5	2	2	3	4		
	3	3	1	4	4	4		
	2	2	2	2	2	4		
	3	3	2	2	3	4		
	4	4	2	2	2	3		
	2	4	2	2	2	2		
	3	4	Z	Z	3	3		
	3	1	1	2	2	3		
	1	1	2	2	n	5		
	1	1	L	2	2	5		
	4	4	5	3	3	3		
	4	4	5	1	2 2	2		
	4	4	5	1	Z	Z		
	4	4	3	1	2	3		
	1	1	1	1	2	2		
	1	1	1	1	2	Z		
	3	3	1	1	1	2		
	3	2	1	1	2	4		
	5	2 -	1	1	2	4		
	4	5	4	2	2	2		
	4	4	3	2	3	2		
	1	1	2	1	2	2		
	4	4	3	1	2	3		
	3	4	2	1	2	3		
	4	2	2	3	3	4		
	2	<u></u>	2	1	2			
	3	4	3	1	3	3		
	3	4	3	2	2	4		

	<u>T-Tests</u>						
	Independent samples comparing Bud Burst with Control - Primary Roots Counts: 33, 33						
	Means: 2.9848, 2.2727 Variances: 0.9763, 0.7045						
	Std. Devs:	0.98	81, 0.8394				
	Pooled variant $t = 2.1552$ w	$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ce:} & 0.840\\ \text{sth} & 64 & \text{df} & \text{(for a)} \end{array}$	04 aval variances	,			
	t = 3.1333, w Pr ($t > 3.155$	(10104 d1)(10104 d1)	(one tailed))			
	$t^* = 3.1553$ with 62.3694 df (for unequal variances)						
	Independent samples comparing Bud Burst with Control - Secondary Root						
	Counts: 55, 55 Means: 2.9697 1 7879						
	Variances: 1.5303, 1.0473						
	Std devs: 1.2371, 1.0234						
	Pooled variant $t = 4.2286$	ce: 1.283 with 64 df (for	88 : equal variance	(26			
	r = 4.2280, Pr (t > 4.228	(36) = 0.0000	one tailed)	28)			
	$t^* = 4.2286$ w	vith 61.8295 df	f (for unequal v	unequal variances)			
ANAL VSIS:	Independent samples comparing Bud Burst with Control - No. of Shoots						
	Counts: Means:	33, 33 2, 33	33 1 4545				
	Variances:	1.22	92, 0.2557				
	Std. Devs:	1.10	87, 0.5056				
	Pooled variant $t - 4 1429 \mathrm{w}$	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} ce: & 0.742 \\ ith 64 df (for e) \end{array}$	24 qual variances`)			
	r = 4.142, w Pr (t > 4.142	(9) = 0.0001	(one tailed)	/			
	$t^* = 4.1429$	$t^* = 4.1429$ with 44.7606 df (for unequal variances)					
	Independent	samples compa	ring Bud Burst	with Control -	Shoot Size		
	Counts:	33, 33					
	Means: Variances:	3.18	18, 2.3030				
	Std. Devs:	0.882	23, 0.7699				
	Pooled varian	ce: 0.68	56				
	t = 4.3111, W	ith 64 df (for e $1 = 0.0000$	qual variances))			
	Pr(t > 4.3111) = 0.0000 (one tailed) $t^* = 4.3111$ with 62.8485 df (for unequal variances)						
		Chi So	uare - Shoo	ts per Node			
	Bud Burst- Observed	Expected	Control- Observed	Expected	Chi Square		
	19	14	9	14	3.5714 (100%)		
	14 33	19	24	19	2.0316 (<100%) * 6.2030		
	1 Degree of I	maadama * Da		025 55			
		riedom * Pl	obability <0	9.023 SI	gmircafit		
	As the analysis demonstrates, the addition of Bud Burst to the planting dip of Aratan significantly improves:						
CONCLUSIONS:	1. Root structure						
	2. Shoot numbers 3. Shoot quality						
	Ded Developments in the first of the first o						
	Bud Burst car	also improve	ule uniformity	of the stand of	i piant cane.		